

OLMSTED BROTHERS  
RECEIVED

051-1

Office  
San Diego -  
Cali.

JUL 20 1911

John  
Saw

Dear Mr. Olmsted

Re. Exposition  
Bridges.

ACK'D BY

ANS'D

READ

I have just received your letter of  
the 14<sup>th</sup> inst —

It sort of worries me about even  
presenting showing the plan  
showing the art museum at the  
Date street entrance — an Allen  
will at once grab it ~~and~~ as  
an argument for all the other  
buildings on the central ~~side~~  
mesa — For some reason Allen  
and Sefton are tremendously  
anxious for the temporary  
Exposition Bldgs to be on the  
central mesa — I claimed  
that ~~the~~ sentiment — and personal  
desires of people wanting new  
hospitals or ~~for~~ various other  
reasons would say — "lets keep  
these buildings — fix them up when

they need it — but for some years they  
 will meet our purposes" — Marston  
 and Waugenheim agreed — that a  
 great deal of pressure will be brought  
 to keep some of if not a number of  
 the temporary buildings — ~~Softer~~  
 Sefton offered to form a company  
 and guarantee by bond that the  
 buildings would come down — but  
 it was not accepted — Then I ~~was~~  
 argued that we wanted to keep any  
 formal treatment of the park all together  
 at the South end — etc — Allen tried  
 the other day as I wrote you — to get  
 Mr Waugenheim to agree to have the  
 permanent buildings at the Dato St  
 Entrance — in fact I believe Waugenheim  
 suggested it — and Allen said fine  
 then the big bridge — and the fine  
 other buildings on the central mesa —

I said no <sup>as</sup> ~~at~~ that the location  
was not imposing - as when we  
had shown them - and downed it -

I feel quite sure that if I show the  
plan from you indicating the  
art museum at Date Street - that  
Allen would get the rest of the  
buildings on the large central mesa -  
and that the bridge across the  
Spanish Canyon would not go in -  
then the California State Bldg would  
right go at Date St - or with the  
other group of bldgs - as it is  
now - we have shown the California  
State Bldg (permanent) and the Art Bldg  
as one group -

Unless you direct me to do so  
I will not show the plan with  
the art museum at Date St. Entrance,

wired

wired

The question of a permanent lath house has come up - The Floral Ass. is strong for it and Wangerheim - is willing to set aside money for it - I would be glad if you will wire me what you think of taking \$10 or \$15000 for one - and location - It seemed to me that it would be less objectionable and more easily maintained - and handled easier - if it was in connection with the nursery and green house - It might be put at the head of the Canada which comes up just south of the green house and winds around west of the present larger lath house - ~~at~~ This would mean cutting one or two trees - and taking a small portion of the south part of the nursery ~~at~~ in this vicinity - as per enclosed sketch -

It doesn't need to be located exactly now - but if you think it a thing we ought to allow money for Daught to know also its approximate location as soon as this celebration is over I am to have a meeting with Waugenkin as to the expenditures of the Park \$1,000,000 also Blossom's salary - There seems to be about 25,000 extra people in town. ~~and~~ The water carnival last night was very pretty - the fire works only fair - almost poor - the floral parade today - very weak - only about three floats - that ~~is~~ looked any thing but poor I will send you papers - The moment (yesterday) that ~~the~~ President Taft pressed the button - and the ground was broken - every body started to move and about half of the 30,000 would not come back - John Barrett (Rep. of Pres Taft)

was in the middle of his speech —  
 and the departure of half of the people  
 made him feel bad — also the six  
 other speakers that came after him —  
 and the flags didn't unfold — when  
 the button was pressed — too bad —  
 Its after seven so ill close and dine

yours faithfully

James T. Dawson